

However, Plaintiff does not indicate the citizenship of these new Defendants so that the Court may properly determine if it maintains jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c) (“[A] corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of every State and foreign state by which it has been incorporated and of the State or foreign state where it has its principal place of business”); *OnePoint Solutions, LLC v. Borchert*, 486 F.3d 342, 346 (8th Cir. 2007) (“An LLC's citizenship, for purposes of diversity jurisdiction, is the citizenship of each of its members.”); *Reece v. Bank*

of New York Mellon, 760 F.3d 771, 777 (8th Cir. 2014) (An individual's residence does not establish his or her citizenship because "[w]hen it comes to diversity jurisdiction, the words "resident" and "citizen" are not interchangeable."). Therefore the Court will direct Plaintiff to provide a notice to the Court properly alleging the citizenship of these Defendants.


Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Joinder of Additional Parties (Doc. 29) is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file a notice with the Court properly alleging the citizenship of the newly joined Defendants on or before **April 27, 2015**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Parties shall file a second joint proposed scheduling plan with the Court on or before **May 4, 2015**.

Dated this 20th day of April, 2015.


JOHN A. ROSS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE